

City of Springfield
Special Regular Meeting

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL REGULAR MEETING OF THE
SPRINGFIELD CITY COUNCIL HELD MONDAY, MARCH
21, 2005.

Minutes of the Special Regular Meeting of the Springfield City Council held on Monday, March 21, 2005, at 7 p.m.

ATTENDANCE

Present were Mayor Sid Leiken; Councilors Anne Ballew, Tammy Fitch, Christine Lundberg, Joe Pishioneri, Dave Ralston, John Woodrow.

STAFF: City Manager Mike Kelly, Assistant City Manager Cynthia Pappas, Colin Stephens, Nick Arnis, Gary McKenny, City Attorney Meg Kiernan, Ken Vogeny, Al Girard.

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Sid Leiken called the meeting of the Springfield City Council to order. Roll was called, with all members present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

SPRINGFIELD UPBEAT

1. Recognition of Dean Bishop for Fifteen Years of Service to the City of Springfield

Assistant City Manager Cynthia Pappas recognized Mr. Bishop, Traffic Technician, for his 15 years of service to Springfield. She noted his many outside interests and accomplishments at the City, including the passage of the City's first bicycle plan. Ms. Pappas said that Mr. Bishop was the only employee who knows how to run the traffic signal program, and expressed the hope he would be around for a long time to come. Mr. Bishop thanked the council for its recognition and said he was happy to work for the City of Springfield.

CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Claims

2. Minutes

a. March 7, 2005 – Work Session

3. Resolutions

4. Ordinances

- a. ORDINANCE NO. 6121 – AN ORDINANCE RENAMING TO PRASAD COURT THAT PORTION OF KAYLEE COURT EAST OF LAURA STREET, WEST OF PIONEER PARKWAY WEST AS LOCATED IN WILLOWBROOK SUBDIVISION, THE 40.0 FOOT WIDE PUBLIC STREET RUNNING EASTERLY FROM LAURA STREET 400 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE RIGHT-OF-WAY OF PIONEER PARKWAY WEST BOUNDARY OF AND LYING WITHIN THE SUBDIVISION OF WILLOWBROOK AS PLATTED AND RECORDED IN C S FILE 38647, LANE COUNTY OREGON PLAT RECORDS IN LANE COUNTY, OREGON, ALL IN TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 03 WEST, SECTION 27, MAP 10.
- b. ORDINANCE NO. 6122 – AN ORDINANCE CREATING AN OUTDOOR CAFÉ PERMIT, ESTABLISHING STANDARDS FOR THE GRANTING OF SUCH PERMIT, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ISSUE PERMITS, ADDING SECTION 7.900 THROUGH 7.908 TO THE SPRINGFIELD MUNICIPAL CODE AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
- c. ORDINANCE NO. 6123 – AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 3.200, 3.202 AND SECTION 3.204 AND ADDING SECTIONS 3.203 AND 3.205 TO CHAPTER 3 “STREETS” OF THE SPRINGFIELD MUNICIPAL CODE TO PROVIDE COMPENSATION TO THE CITY OF SPRINGFIELD WHERE VACATION OF A CITY RIGHT-OF-WAY RESULTS IN A SPECIAL BENEFIT TO ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNER.

5. Other Routine Matters

- a. Approval of the OLCC Liquor License Endorsement for CJ’s Eatery, Located at 2152 Marcola Road, Springfield, OR.
- b. Approval of the OLCC Liquor License Endorsement for Shakers Bar and Grill LLC, Located at 1836 South A Street, Springfield, OR.
- c. Approval of the OLCC Liquor License Endorsement for Sharky’s Pub, Located at 4221 Main Street, Springfield, OR.
- d. Approval of the OLCC Liquor License Endorsement for Centennial Steakhouse, Located at 1300 Mohawk Blvd., Springfield, OR.
- e. Approval of the Revised Council Goals.

Councilor Lundberg declared a conflict of interest in regard to Item 4b and pulled it from the Consent Calendar.

Councilor Ralston asked to pull Ordinance 1 from the consent Calendar (Item 4a)

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Ballew, moved to accept the Consent Calendar with the exception of items 4a and 4b. The motion passed unanimously.

ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Fitch, moved to approve item 4b. The motion passed, 5:1:0; Councilor Lundberg abstaining from the vote.

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Fitch, moved to approve Item 4a.

Councilor Ralston indicated opposition to the item's inclusion on the Consent Calendar and said it should have been discussed and voted on independently. He said he was not inclined to change the name of a street simply because a person requested it.

The motion passed, 5:1; Councilor Ralston voting no.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Supplemental Budget Resolution.

RESOLUTION NO. 05-12 – A RESOLUTION ADJUSTING RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS IN THE GENERAL FUND; STREET FUND; SPECIAL REVENUE FUND; TRANSIENT ROOM TAX FUND; COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND; RIVERBEND DEVELOPMENT FUND; BUILDING CODE FUND; FIRE LOCAL OPTION LEVY FUND; SEWER CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND; DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT CAPITAL FUND; DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS FUND; JUSTICE CENTER FUND; REGIONAL WASTEWATER CAPITAL FUND; STREET CAPITAL FUND; SEWER SDC FUND; REGIONAL WASTEWATER SDC FUND; INSURANCE FUND; EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES FUND; AND, THE VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT FUND.

Bob Duey was present for the item. He reviewed the supplemental budget request.

Responding to a question from Councilor Lundberg regarding the source of the funds for the Utility Tax Information Project, Mr. Duey explained the 821 Fund was an internal finance fund and the source of the funds was unclaimed deposits from over ten years ago. The council would declare those funds surplus, and they would be directed to the General Fund. A portion of the money would be used to underwrite the costs of the public information campaign for the public utility tax. An auditor's ruling directed the City to clear the amount from its books in that manner. Responding to a follow-up question from Mr. Pishioneri, Mr. Duey indicated about \$40,000 was in the fund.

Mayor Leiken opened the public hearing.

Fred Simmons, 312 South 52nd Place, questioned the allocation of the road fund transfer intended to be used for a street overlay. He questioned whether the cost was actually a capital expenditure because it was his belief that replacing an overlay that had been overlaid before was maintenance.

Mr. Simmons asked the purpose of the \$10,000 shift mentioned by Councilor Lundberg. Ms. Pappas indicated it would underwrite a public information campaign for the utility tax directed at registered voters. Mr. Simmons maintained that constituted advocacy rather than public information.

There being no other requests to speak, Mayor Leiken closed the public hearing.

Mayor Leiken did not recall Mr. Simmons complaining about advocacy issues as a councilor when the council was passing the bond measures for fire and life safety. Ms. Pappas said the City had all materials reviewed by the Secretary of State's Office to ensure all materials that were mailed out were neutral.

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Lundberg, moved to adopt Resolution 05-12. The motion passed, 6:0

2. Establishing Liens for Public Improvements to Serve Laura Street, 300 Feet North of Lindale Drive to the South 1170 Feet.

ORDINANCE NO. 6124 – AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING LIENS FOR INSTALLATION OF
SANITARY SEWER AND DRAINAGE, FOR LAURA STREET, 300 FEET NORTH OF
LINDALE DRIVE TO THE SOUTH 1170 FEET, PROJECT P20322, IN THE CITY OF
SPRINGFIELD, IN LANE COUNTY, OREGON AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Valerie Warner was present for the second reading of the ordinance. She recalled the public testimony the council heard previously regarding the project and said staff attempted to address all the issues raised at that time. The staff response to Ron Jacobson's letter was included in the agenda materials. Ms. Warner reported that unfortunately, Mr. Jacobson had been unable to meet with staff, as had Mr. Prasad, to review the responses. After discussion with the City Attorney's Office, staff believed the most defensible course of action was to proceed as proposed and, in accordance with past practice, to place liens on all ten lots in Kelly Court. She invited questions.

Councilor Lundberg noted her request that the item be delayed so the issues raised in testimony could be worked out. She thanked staff and legal counsel for their efforts to address the issues. She had hoped the parties involved would meet to discuss how the assessment would work. Given the lack of progress, she believed that the best course of action was for the City to move forward with the assessments as proposed and let the private parties resolve their issues privately.

Ron Jacobson, 762 Scotts Line Drive, said he had begun looking at the property in question in November 2000. He met with George Walker on February 6, 2001, and was informed there was sufficient storm and sewer capacity across the street at Lindale Drive to serve the property. Mr. Jacobson said the definition of extortion from the dictionary was the exaction of money by the misuse of authority. He believed that was occurring. He had proceeded in good faith using the advice of the City and questioned what else he could do. He did not think his problem was with Mr. Prasad. He thought it was with the situation that happened. He asked the council to waive the lien.

Orlando Black, 140 49th Street, said he recently purchased a lot from Mr. Jacobson and Mr. Prasad and asked who the lien would be placed against, and what role he played in the matter. Ms. Warner said if the liens were not recorded, it was the responsibility of the seller to disclose the pending lien to the buyer, just as the City would have preferred Mr. Jacobson reveal the lien. Mr. Black asked who the liens would be on. Ms. Kieran responded that the lien runs with the property from the time it was imposed until the time it was paid off.

Mayor Leiken closed the public hearing.

Councilor Woodrow thought it unfortunate the issues raised did not get resolved. He pointed out the council provided two weeks for a resolution to be found, and it now had an obligation to proceed.

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Lundberg, moved to adopt Ordinance 6124. The motion passed unanimously, 6:0.

1. RiverBend Master Plan/Zone Change (PeaceHealth)

ORDINANCE NO. 6125 – AN ORDINANCE REZONING 43.1 ACRES OF LAND FROM
MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO MIXED-USE COMMERCIAL AND 43.90 ACRES OF
LAND FROM MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO MEDICAL SERVICES IN
ACCORDANCE WITH THE GATEWAY REFINEMENT PLAN DIAGRAM AND DECLARING
AN EMERGENCY.

Planner Colin Stephens was present for the item.

Councilors had no *ex parte* contacts or conflicts of interest. Mayor Leiken noted his receipt of several e-mail messages from David Rodriguez regarding the flood plain issue but he had indicated he was not able to respond. Councilor Woodrow said he also received correspondence from Mr. Rodriguez but had not responded.

Mr. Stephens reviewed the criteria for the applications before the council.

Ms. Stephens provided the staff report. He recalled that a number of issues were raised in public testimony before the council. He spoke to Condition 2, related to landscaping along the riparian corridor, and said PeaceHealth requested the text approved by the council in March 2004 be reinstated and the text suggested by the Planning Commission be removed because of the two different types of landscaping to be installed in the two different areas in question.

Regarding Condition 29, Mr. Stephens said that staff forwarded the Planning Commission's recommendation that the parking structure be in place in conjunction with construction of the north medical office building or Building C, whichever occurred first. Staff had no recommendation to offer in regard to the issue but was ready to answer questions.

Mr. Stephens referred to Condition 31, and recalled that Tom Boyatt of the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) noted in his testimony that the trip monitoring plan did not account for other parking lots in the Gateway area if the council returned to the text in Condition 29. That had been revised to ensure it worked if the council chose to leave the commission recommendation in place or modified Condition 29.

Mr. Stephens discussed the testimony offered by residents of the Colonial Drive area, who raised concerns about the potential sound impact from the extension of Martin Luther King, Jr. Parkway and increased traffic. He said that Transportation Division staff was meeting with the residents on March 31 to their address concerns. He recommended that the issues be removed from this venue and deferred to that process. He noted the concerns expressed by residents about the access to Building F, and staff recommended that the issue be addressed during site plan review for that building because of the conceptual nature of the master plan and the uncertainty of where access would occur. Mr. Stephens said that property owner notice would occur at that time. In addition, the City had no authority over the street at this time as it is a county street and could not place signage or do any kind of striping.

Referring to Condition 65, Mr. Stephens said the condition was revised to reflect new information that has arisen in the review of the sanitary sewer.

Mr. Stephens recommended approval of both applications as being consistent with the applicable criteria in the Springfield Development Code.

Mayor Leiken called on the council for deliberations.

Councilor Ralston said he was opposed to the proposed location for a hospital. However, he acknowledged that PeaceHealth should be able to expand somewhere. His concern was the site location and some of the processes that had occurred. For example, he was not satisfied that Goal 1 was met. The applicable refinement plan was changed by the staff without sufficient public input. He continued to have concerns about the cost of the needed transportation improvements and who would pay for them. He was concerned about how developable the land east of PeaceHealth would be. While PeaceHealth would be out of the floodplain, he believed the development would change the river flow and that had not been adequately addressed. He questioned whether the medium-density residentially zoned property was developable.

Councilor Ralston was encouraged by the fact the Jaquas and CHOICES had reached an agreement with PeaceHealth. He believed they saw the “writing on the wall” and realized it was better to get something rather than nothing. He would look closely at the nodal development aspect of the PeaceHealth development, and was concerned that the size took it out of the nodal category. However, he was pleased to see the elements related to nodal development in the agreement with CHOICES.

Councilor Ralston said he had balanced the issues involved and decided that since the location of the hospital appeared to be a given, if done properly there could be a really good development on the site. In addition, Springfield would be the home of a regional hospital, which was a good thing.

Councilor Ralston acknowledged the hard work done by the Planning Commission on the topic and said that it seemed to him that in the past the council had deferred more to the work of the commission. He thanked the commission for its work on the topic, and said he tended to defer to the commission in regard to its position on Condition 29, for example.

Councilor Ralston indicated his support for the proposal, with the reservations he had expressed.

Councilor Ballew said the process had been a long one. Regarding the conditions, she supported the staff recommendation regarding Condition 2. In regard to Condition 29, she did not think the council had to require the parking structure as part of the plan. Regarding Condition 31, Councilor Ballew had no opinion and thought the prior text sufficient. She indicated acceptance of the staff recommendation regarding the Colonial Drive issues, believing they would be addressed over time. Councilor Ballew indicated support for the master plan.

Councilor Lundberg said she had spent considerable time on the issues involved as councilor of the impacted ward. Speaking to the issue of the Planning Commission, she said that it was the commission’s job to interpret the rules, and the council’s job to make policy choices as they related to the community. She said if the area had developed with multi-family housing, the City would have less control over transportation improvements if that occurred; in this case, the council had a great deal of control over how things developed in the area. The master plan gave the City a way to maintain that control. She wanted to ensure there was access to the multi-use paths from the Hayden Bridge area. She was not in favor of nodal development but acknowledged it was a fact and said however that occurred was fine with her. She was also not committed to a parking structure and would support text that gave PeaceHealth more leeway.

Councilor Lundberg thanked all those who offered testimony and suggested that the traffic that occurred could be accommodated as it grew and changed through other planning processes that had occurred, such as the Beltline stakeholders group.

Councilor Woodrow thanked City staff and PeaceHealth staff. He termed the situation a good example of the Springfield way, which was a cooperative process between citizens and the City. He expressed appreciation for the work of the Planning Commission. He agreed with Councilor Lundberg that the council was the ultimate policy maker. Councilor Woodrow indicated he would likely move that the council accept Condition 29 as originally stated.

Councilor Pishioneri expressed appreciation for those who participated in the process and worked together to reach a resolution. He was proud to be part of the process. He said the region had medical needs that would not be met adequately very soon, and Springfield was responding to that need on the part of the entire region. He was glad to call Springfield the home of the PeaceHealth regional center. He supported the master plan and agreed with Councilor Ralston about the fact the various factions had come together.

Councilor Fitch expressed her agreement with the remarks of Councilor Ballew. She thanked staff and legal counsel, saying the proposal was a once-in-a-lifetime project. She thanked PeaceHealth for its belief that it was the right thing to keep the hospital in the metropolitan area. As the population ages, medical treatment would be increasingly important. She thanked the Jaquas and CHOICES for raising important issues and making the plan better.

Councilor Ralston asked that the council take separate action on Condition 29.

Mayor Leiken also thanked the staff for its outstanding work and the councilors for their effort and support. He said the process had been an interesting one from the inception. He said that one could consider such projects as an opportunity or a detriment. He perceived the proposal as a development.

In regard to remarks made in testimony about the Gateway Refinement Plan, Mayor Leiken said he respected those who developed the plan but pointed out that there were not many medium-density residential projects being developed in the area. He suggested the issue for the council to consider was whether to keep the area as a greenfield status pending the fulfillment of the policies in the plan, or consider new opportunities. The hospital proposal was a new opportunity.

Mayor Leiken noted that he had spoken to representatives of McKenzie-Willamette/Triad before PeaceHealth announced its plans to determine the impact on that hospital. However, it became clear that no one could foretell market conditions. The council had to decide whether to move forward. Mayor Leiken said that Springfield was not giving up a lot, and PeaceHealth was paying a lot; it would be paying \$20 million in upfront costs right away. The City was working with its congressional delegation, led by Representative Peter Defazio, for the money needed for the Beltline-I-5 interchange project. He characterized the application as a partnership that had included the City, PeaceHealth, the State and federal governments, but most of all, the public. Mayor Leiken believed the council had listened to the public throughout the course of the application review.

Mayor Leiken said Springfield remained a great timber town, but PeaceHealth would create new opportunities for the community, which was on the verge of becoming a medical research health care community. He suggested the City had to seize the opportunity or push it away, and the council chose to seize the opportunity.

Mayor Leiken commended the work of all City staff who worked on the project. He thanked all employees involved.

Mayor Leiken noted that the project had become a case study on a statewide basis, as demonstrated by the attendance at a recent presentation at the League of Oregon Cities.

Mayor Leiken noted that PeaceHealth had the potential to add considerably to the community's inventory of family wage jobs.

Mayor Leiken said that Councilor Ralston was an honest, upfront person who could have "caved in" any time during the process. Some people would think so, but he did not.

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Lundberg, moved to approve the zone change ordinance (No. 6125) based on evidence in the record that the proposed zone change is found to be consistent with the criteria of approval at Section 12.030 of the Springfield Development Code. The motion passed unanimously, 6:0

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Lundberg, moved to approve the master plan as conditioned with changes to conditions 2, 31, and 65 as requested by staff,

without Condition 29, based on the evidence in the record that the proposed master plan is found to be consistent with the criteria of approval at Section 37.040 of the Springfield Development Code. The motion passed unanimously, 6:0

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Lundberg, to approve Master Plan Condition 29 as originally proposed and approved by the City Council and as reflected in Attachment 1-2 of the packet, with the stricken language reinstated. The motion passed, 5:1; Councilor Ralston voting no.

BUSINESS FROM THE AUDIENCE

Curtis Greer, 357 55th Street, said he received a letter from Springfield dated March 17, 2005, raising more questions rather than answering his questions. He had asked why it cost 35 percent more to dispose of wastewater in Springfield than in Eugene. He did not find the answer he received satisfactory, particularly that regarding economies of scale. He said that he was told if he wanted any information, he must get the council to ask staff the question. He asked what was being hidden that a ratepayer must get the council to make the request. He asked that the council ask staff his question.

Bob Kramer, 1020 South 42nd Street, proposed that the council adopt a plan for the 42nd and Jasper intersection that was safe for all, including school aged children. He suggested the City redesign the intersection to reduce the area that must be traversed. He called the council's attention to a petition signed by area residents in support of his position and to diagrams illustrating his remarks. He suggested a roundabout was not suitable for the area. He asked the council to listen to the neighborhood, which did not want the roundabout. He believed staff could develop a creative suitable solution.

Jeannine Crane, 885 42nd Street, noted her long-time involvement with the 42nd and Jasper intersection project. She suggested some safety issues may be being overlooked. She recalled that the traffic at both intersections was to be slowed, but it appeared that the Mount Vernon intersection would not be designed in a way that accomplished that goal. Mount Vernon to the south was to be three lanes, and she did not think that would slow traffic. In regard to the roundabout, she feared that a driver's attention would be directed to the left where traffic would come from, and they would not pay sufficient attention to the children using the crosswalk to the right. A three-way stop without a light forced a child to make a judgment without sufficient information about the rules of the road.

Tony Metcalf, 1324 Barrington Street, Eugene, said he moved his business to Springfield from Eugene a year ago, and that had gone well. He enjoyed shopping at the Little Red Farm, and when told about the roundabout by Mr. Kramer, he had shared his concern about the safety of the children using the roundabout. He believed that a signalized intersection was preferable for the safety of the nearby children. He was also concerned about the amount of property the roundabout would consume. He asked the council to consider alternatives to the roundabout.

Catherine Frasz, 895 South 71st Street, said she taught at Douglas Gardens and she and other teachers were concerned about the safety of the Jasper intersection. Those staff members she spoke to believe a signalized intersection would be safer for the children using the intersection.

Joe Eisenberg, 675 East 39th Avenue, Eugene, discussed the 42nd and Jasper intersection project. He recalled that he had asked the council to start the project as late as possible as his nursery business stood to lose money. That was still an issue for him. He thanked the council on behalf of Bob Kramer for the time councilors had spent talking to him. He said that the safety of children was important as well as reducing the intrusion on residents from the improvement. Mr. Eisenberg suggested that the citizens'

advisory committee be reconvened to discuss alternatives for the intersection in light of the discussion that had occurred.

David Rodriguez, 87984 Heather Drive, regretted the council's approval of the PeaceHealth applications. He reminded the council that he had presented them with an alternative for the council to consider. Because the council had approved the plan, it would be appealed. If he prevailed in his appeal, the channel migration study he wanted would occur. He asked why his proposals had been ignored by PeaceHealth. Mr. Rodriguez maintained the master plan was flawed for several reasons; for example, a medical lab was proposed to be located in the former Sony building in a flood hazard zone. He maintained that the meander above the RiverBend site was unstable. He said that the council should not let PeaceHealth's experts claim otherwise. He agreed that the project was a case study and the State would learn from the issue.

Greg Shaver, 1225 Water Street, said roundabouts tend to work in industrial areas and away from urban areas. They did not work well in areas such as the Jasper and 42nd area. He said that when traffic was stopped in the circle, all directions were stopped, while in a signalized intersection traffic was only stopped in two directions. That was more efficient. He said that trucks would avoid the area and the roundabout would not be needed in the future.

Mr. Shaver discussed the proposed utility tax, and indicated that there would be four votes at his house if the tax was directed at supporting the jail alone. Otherwise, there would be four votes against it.

Linda Shaver, 1225 Water Street, discussed her experience working with City staff on her street and said that plans had changed over time. She had gone door to door collecting signatures on a petition and because of the power to remonstrate and because the council listened, the plans were changed back to those seen by the neighborhood. She asked the council to listen to the neighbors living near the intersection and to the businesses that would be affected by the roundabout, which she did not think would protect children. She said the council should show leadership and direct staff to put a signal at the intersection instead.

John Charlow, 4260 Cole Way, said that roundabouts could be efficient but he did not think the intersection in question was the right location for one. Truck traffic had increased in the time he had lived there and Jasper Road was more congested. The Jasper Road extension would exacerbate the issue. Mr. Charlow believed a stop light was the safest option for the children living in the area. He asked the council to listen to residents.

Lauren Zaputo, 4024 Jasper Road, expressed concern about the safety of pedestrian traffic at the proposed roundabout on 42nd Street and Jasper Road. She had been assured by the school district that no pedestrians would be traveling to the schools but that had not happened. She expressed concern about relying on children's judgment to get across the street safely. She also expressed doubt about the need for the project.

Joe Zaputo, 4024 Jasper Road, expressed concern about the choice of traffic control at the intersection as it regarded local residents, bicyclists, and pedestrian traffics. The intersection was heavily used by trucks and they would travel very close to the sidewalks and buildings. He said the improvements were motivated by a fatal pedestrian accident, but the improvements would benefit trucks instead of pedestrians. He advocated for a signalized intersection. Mr. Zaputo suggested that the studies in support of the roundabouts could be wrong.

Ted Crane, 885 South 42nd Street, said when the residents first came to the council safety was a priority. However, since that time, the options offered the residents were narrowed to the roundabout and widening the street. Residents resisted that plan, and some minor changes were made that included the roundabout.

He agreed that the roundabout was not needed and a four-way signalized intersection was preferable, particularly for children, who would have difficulty understanding the rules of a roundabout. He called for the project to remain on schedule even if changes were made to the design.

Fred Simmons, 312 South 52nd Street, advocated for information about the public utility tax to be sent to all Springfield residents rather than just registered voters. Speaking to the Intergovernmental Agreement for the Metropolitan Wastewater Management Commission, Mr. Simmons suggested that the City was taking away the right of City government to resist changes that may be productive by signing the agreement. While it appeared to be cheaper to take the route that legal counsel recommended, he believed the council needed to be careful about abandoning the right to retain the rate. He asked that the council look at that issue carefully.

COUNCIL RESPONSE

Mayor Leiken suggested that the council schedule a work session on the intersection issue on March 28 in the Library Meeting Room to accommodate interested residents. There was general concurrence. The council discussed the potential that the project could be delayed into the next construction season if further design changes were contemplated.

Councilor Fitch recalled that the project had been fast-tracked at the request of the residents. She noted the citizen advisory committee process that had occurred. She expressed the wish that the residents had raised objections before.

Councilor Lundberg recalled that the council changed what the citizen advisory committee had recommended. She said she wanted to make the right decisions and stick to the time line if possible. However, she was prepared to reexamine the issue.

Councilor Woodrow thanked the citizens who had voiced their concerns about the intersection project. He also thanked staff for its efforts, pointing out members of the staff were professional engineers who were responding to the City Council. He agreed the project needed to move forward.

Ms. Pappas said if the council decided to change to a signalized intersection, the project would be behind schedule about one month and construction costs could go up.

CORRESPONDENCE AND PETITIONS

1. Correspondence from Ron Jacobson, Willowbrook Development, 762 Scotts Glen Drive, Springfield, OR to Councilor Lundberg, Regarding Willowbrook Subdivision.
(Please see attached staff response.)
2. Correspondence from Ron Jacobson, Willowbrook Development, 762 Scotts Glen Drive, Springfield, OR Regarding Assessments against Willowbrook Subdivision Lots.
(Please see attached staff response.)
3. Correspondence from Jeannine Crane, 885 South 42nd Street, Springfield, OR Regarding the South 42nd Street Project.
(Staff response to be mailed under separate cover.)
4. Correspondence from Mr. and Mrs. Delbert L. Sands, 167 V Street, Springfield, OR Regarding Cat Issues.

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Lundberg, moved to accept the correspondence and petitions for filing. The motion passed unanimously.

BIDS

ORDINANCES

BUSINESS FROM THE CITY COUNCIL

1. Committee Appointments

- a. Appoint Candidates for the Two City-Appointed Members of the Glenwood Urban Renewal Advisory Committee.

John Tamulonis was present for the item. He briefly noted the appointments being proposed.

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Lundberg, moved to appoint Steve Roth to the Glenwood Urban Renewal Advisory Committee. The motion passed unanimously, 6:0.

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Lundberg, moved to appoint John Oldham to the Glenwood Urban Renewal Advisory Committee. The motion passed unanimously, 6:0.

2. Business from Council

Responding to a question from Councilor Ralston about the John Tippler situation, Ms. Pappas indicated that Joe Leahy was working with staff on amendments.

Mayor Leiken encouraged staff to meet with Mr. Greer to answer his questions. Ms. Pappas agreed to arrange such a meeting.

BUSINESS FROM THE CITY MANAGER

1. Fee for Sidewalk Café Permit.

RESOLUTION NO. 05-13 – A RESOLUTION FIXING FEES FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A SIDEWALK CAFÉ PERMIT AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Councilor Lundberg recused herself from the item due to a conflict of interest.

Len Goodwin was present for the item. He said the resolution would set the fee for the earlier ordinance adopted by the council.

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Ballew, moved to adopt Resolution 05-13. The motion passed, 5:1:0; Councilor Lundberg voting abstaining from the vote.

2. Official Intent to Reimburse Certain Capital Expenditures from General Obligation Bond Proceeds. [Bob Duey]

RESOLUTION NO. 05-14 – A RESOLUTION SETTING FORTH THE OFFICIAL INTENT OF THE CITY TO REIMBURSE CERTAIN CAPITAL EXPENDITURES OUT OF THE PROCEEDS OF OBLIGATIONS TO BE ISSUED IN CONNECTION WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF A POLICE/COURT AND MUNICIPAL JAIL FACILITY AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS.

Mr. Duey characterized the resolution as typical of such expenses.

Responding to a question from Mr. Pishioneri, Mr Duey indicated he would not sell any bonds intended to support construction of the jail until he had further direction from the council to do so.

Councilor Woodrow, seconded by Councilor Lundberg, moved to adopt Resolution 05-14. The motion passed unanimously, 6:0.

BUSINESS FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY

There was no business from the City Attorney.

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Leiken adjourned the meeting at 9:07 p.m.

(Recorded by Kimberly Young)

Sidney W. Leiken
Mayor

Attest:

City Recorder